



Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik

SIESTA and its free boundary development

H. Peraza-Rodríguez¹, R. Sánchez¹, J. Geiger², V. Tribaldos¹, J.M. Reynolds¹ and S.P. Hirshman³

¹ Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
 ² Max-Plack Institut f
ür Plasmaphysik IPP, Greifswald, Germany
 ³ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, U.S.A.

17th Nov. 2015









Outline

Introduction to SIESTA

2 Why extend SIESTA?

3 SIESTA's Extension

- Virtual casing principle
- Extension implementation

Present status







Table of Contents

Introduction to SIESTA

2 Why extend SIESTA?

3 SIESTA's Extension

- Present status
- 5 Closing





What is SIESTA?







SIESTA for newbies

Scalable Iterative Equilibrium Solver for Toroidal Applications¹

Ideal MHD code that introduces resistivity for the possible development of structures and allows a 3-D magnetic field. Basically: improvement on VMEC code







Based on ideal MHD [+ resistivity and some tricks]:

• pressure:
$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = (\gamma - 1)\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla p - \gamma \nabla (p\mathbf{v}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \xi = \mathbf{v} \Delta t$$

• Faraday's law with resistivity:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \left[\mathbf{v} \times \left(\mathbf{E} + \begin{cases} \eta \mathbf{J} & \text{isotropic} \\ \eta \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{B} \frac{\mathbf{B}}{B^2} & \text{anisotropic} \end{cases} \right) \right]$$

- Ampere's Law: $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \delta \mathbf{B}$
- Force: $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \nabla p = \mathbf{0}$
- Energy minimization: $W = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} + \frac{p}{\gamma 1} \right) dV$ via variational principle: $\delta W = -\int P^{ij} F_i F_j dV$





Table of Contents

Introduction to SIESTA

- 2 Why extend SIESTA?
- 3 SIESTA's Extension
- Present status
- 5 Closing







Analysis domain is restricted to LCFS







What happens outside the LCFS??







Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik

17th Nov. 2015 5 / 14

Limitation: Analysis domain



Comparison between results with VMEC+Extender (on top, Geiger et al., Contrib. Plasma Phis. 50 (8), 2010) and those of SIESTA for $\phi = 0$ (on the bottom).

What about self-consistency?



Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik

5th FUSENET PhD Event

SIESTA and its free boundary development

Table of Contents

Introduction to SIESTA

2 Why extend SIESTA?

3 SIESTA's Extension

- Virtual casing principle
- Extension implementation

Present status







- Increased domain ~→ Free boundary problem
- Virtual Casing: method applied already in the EXTENDER code.
- Would compete with PIES and HINT2 codes (they're slower, but already free boundary).







Representation: Inside: Ω ; Outside: $\tilde{\Omega}$; Surface: $\delta \Omega$.

From Drevlak et al., Nuc. Fusion 45 (7), 2005.







Inside:
$$\mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})} = \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\Omega}} + \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{\Omega}}}$$

Def.: $\mathbf{B}^{*} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})} & \mathbf{r} \in \Omega \\ 0 & \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}, \end{cases}$ then:
 $\mathbf{J}_{\delta\Omega(\mathbf{p})} = \frac{-1}{\mu_{0}} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{p})}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{J_{\delta\Omega}} = -\mathbf{B}_{J_{\Omega}} , \quad \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}$

Representation: Inside: Ω ; Outside: $\tilde{\Omega}$; Surface: $\delta\Omega$.

From Drevlak et al., Nuc. Fusion 45 (7), 2005.







Representation: Inside: Ω ; Outside: $\tilde{\Omega}$; Surface: $\delta \Omega$.

From Drevlak et al., Nuc. Fusion 45 (7), 2005.

Inside:
$$\mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})} = \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\Omega}} + \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{\Omega}}}$$

Def.: $\mathbf{B}^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})} & \mathbf{r} \in \Omega \\ 0 & \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}, \end{cases}$ then:
 $\mathbf{J}_{\delta\Omega(\mathbf{p})} = \frac{-1}{\mu_0} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{p})}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{J_{\delta\Omega}} = -\mathbf{B}_{J_{\Omega}} , \quad \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\tilde{\Omega}} = -\mathbf{B}_{J_{\delta\Omega}} + \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{\Omega}}} , \quad \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}$
• Get current sheet $\mathbf{J}_{\delta\Omega}$

- $\bullet~$ Compute $B_{J_{\delta\Omega}}$ due to it
- Add it to the **B**_C due to the coils
- Solve the whole domain with SIESTA







Representation: Inside: Ω ; Outside: $\tilde{\Omega}$; Surface: $\delta \Omega$.

From Drevlak et al., Nuc. Fusion 45 (7), 2005.

Inside:
$$\mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})} = \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\Omega}} + \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\Omega}}$$

Def.: $\mathbf{B}^{*} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})} & \mathbf{r} \in \Omega \\ 0 & \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}, \end{cases}$ then:
 $\mathbf{J}_{\delta\Omega(\mathbf{p})} = \frac{-1}{\mu_{0}} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{p})}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{J_{\delta\Omega}} = -\mathbf{B}_{J_{\Omega}} , \quad \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}$
 $\Rightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\tilde{\Omega}} = -\mathbf{B}_{J_{\delta\Omega}} + \mathbf{B}_{(\mathbf{r})}^{\mathbf{J}_{\tilde{\Omega}}} , \quad \mathbf{r} \in \tilde{\Omega}$
• Get current sheet $\mathbf{J}_{\delta\Omega}$

- \bullet Compute $B_{J_{\delta\Omega}}$ due to it
- Add it to the **B**_C due to the coils
- Solve the whole domain with SIESTA

Where in $\tilde{\Omega}$ do we compute the field?

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid





Representation: Inside: Ω ; Outside: $\tilde{\Omega}$; Surface: $\delta \Omega$.

From Drevlak et al., Nuc. Fusion 45 (7), 2005.

$\Rightarrow {\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}}_{\tilde{\Omega}} = -{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}}_{J_{\delta\Omega}} + {\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}}_{({\boldsymbol{\mathsf{r}}})}^{{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{J}}}_{\tilde{\Omega}}} \quad,\quad {\boldsymbol{\mathsf{r}}}\in\tilde{\Omega}$

- Get current sheet $J_{\delta\Omega}$
- $\bullet~$ Compute $B_{J_{\delta\Omega}}$ due to it
- Add it to the **B**_C due to the coils
- Solve the whole domain with SIESTA

Where in $\tilde{\Omega}$ do we compute the field?

 \implies Need to extend the numerical mesh





- Continuity up to the first derivative (jacobian)
- No intersection of constant θ or ϕ lines



Done by extending Fourier coefficients up to the vessel

$$R_{(s)} = \sum_{m,n} R_{mn(s)} \cos(m\theta + n\phi)$$
$$Z_{(s)} = \sum_{m,n} Z_{mn(s)} \sin(m\theta + n\phi)$$





- Continuity up to the first derivative (jacobian)
- No intersection of constant θ or ϕ lines



Done by extending Fourier coefficients up to the vessel

$$R_{(s)} = \sum_{m,n} R_{mn(s)} \cos(m\theta + n\phi)$$
$$Z_{(s)} = \sum_{m,n} Z_{mn(s)} \sin(m\theta + n\phi)$$





















Table of Contents

Introduction to SIESTA

- 2 Why extend SIESTA?
- 3 SIESTA's Extension
- Present status









Major problems in numerical mesh have been solved

5th FUSENET PhD Event

Definition of the radial [flux] label







SIESTA needs a first approximation solution...



Field provided by the EXTENDER code







SIESTA needs a first approximation solution...

Field provided by the EXTENDER code



Converged ideally w/o pressure







Table of Contents

Introduction to SIESTA

- 2 Why extend SIESTA?
- 3 SIESTA's Extension
- Present status







Pending issues / Future work

- Boundary condition needs to be redefined
- Revision of the resistive cases
- Include Virtual Casing tool within SIESTA.

Acknowledgements: The Ph.D. program is carried out within the framework of the Erasmus Mundus International Doctoral College in Fusion Science and Engineering (FUSION-DC). The project is financed by the Universidad Carlos III de Madrid and is developed in collaboration with the Stellarator Theory Division of the Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics, in Greifswald. This work has been carried out with support of FuseNet – the European Fusion Education Network – within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium (www.fusenet.eu)



Universidad Carlos III de Madrid

